

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,785	07/21/2006	Paul J. Glatkowski	8125.017.US	9327
69911 7590 10/30/2007  JAMES REMENICK  NOVAK DRUCE & QUIGG, LLP			EXAMINER	
			THOMPSON, CAMIE S	
1300 I STREET NW SUITE 1000 WEST TOWER WASHINGTON, DC 20005		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		10/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/542,785	GLATKOWSKI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Camie S. Thompson	1794		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion for the provision of the provision of the period for reply will, by state that the provision of the provision o	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a look will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	•			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ The solution is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the solution is in condition.	nis action is non-final.  vance except for formal matt	·		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest signal is/are withdrest signal is/are allowed.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/13/17; 3/22/07 · 8/23/06 ′ 7/	Paper No(s 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/542,785 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 8-12 are objected to because of the following informalities: The symbol for Ohms is incorrect. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Glatkowski et al., U.S. Pre Grant Number 2004/0265550.

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Glatkowski discloses carbon nanotubes applied to a substrate (see paragraph 0011). It is disclosed in paragraph 0028 that the carbon nanotubes can be single-walled, double-walled or multi-walled as per instant claims 1-7 and 14-16. Additionally, the reference discloses in

Application/Control Number: 10/542,785 Page 3

Art Unit: 1794

paragraph 0030 that the nanotubes aggregate to form bundles as per the instant claims. Also, the reference discloses that the nanotubes are present in a conductive layer wherein the conductive layer has a surface resistivity in the range of  $10^{-2}$  to about  $10^{12}$  Ohms/square as per instant claims 8-12 (see paragraph 0030). It is disclosed in paragraph 0031 that the transparent and conductive layer has a light transmittance of about 70% or more in the infrared (near or far), ultraviolet and x-ray regions as per instant claims 9-12 and 17-21(see paragraph 0031). Paragraph 0057 of the reference discloses that the substrate can be a transparent resin.

## **Double Patenting**

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 10/542,785

Art Unit: 1794

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-21 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-25 of copending Application No. 10/729,369. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both applications recite a conductive article comprising carbon nanotubes applied to a transparent substrate wherein the layer comprising the substrate and the carbon nanotubes have a surface resistivity in the range of 10<sup>-2</sup> to about 10<sup>12</sup> Ohms/square. Additionally, both reference recite that the carbon nanotubes can single-walled, double walled or multi-walled and in bundles. The co-pending application recites a film. The present application recites an article. An article is generic and would encompass the film.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Camie S. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1530. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If

Application/Control Number: 10/542,785 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena L Dye, can be reached at (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the Group is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BRUCE H. HESS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
PROUP 1300